Health and environmental co-benefits of city urban form in Latin America: an ecological study

Ione Avila-Palencia¹, Brisa N Sánchez¹, Daniel A Rodríguez², Carolina Perez Ferrer³, J Jaime Miranda⁴, Nelson Gouveia⁵, Usama Bilal¹, Andrés F Useche⁶, Maria A Wilches-Mogollon⁶, Olga L Sarmiento⁶, Ana V Diez Roux¹

¹Drexel University, USA; ²University of California - Berkeley, USA; ³Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, Mexico; ⁴Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Peru; ⁵Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil; 6Universidad de los Andes, Colombia. Contact information: ia384@drexel.edu

BACKGROUND

- Urban design features are often studied in relation to health and behavioral outcomes. They can also have major implications for environmental outcomes.
- · However, the impact of these features on both health and environmental outcomes (cobenefits) are rarely examined.

METHODS



- The SALURBAL project has compiled and harmonized data on built environment, environmental exposures, and health outcomes for 370 cities in 11 countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, and Peru.
- Four urban landscape profiles were defined measuring patch (contiguous area of urban development) fragmentation, shape, and isolation. Additional four street design profiles were defined using street connectivity, length, and directness.
- **Multilevel regression models** were used to assess associations between the city profiles and several health and environmental outcomes.

Scattered pixels

low fragmentation

high isolation

compact shape

Labyrinthine

low connectivity

moderate length

moderate directness



Proximate stones

moderate isolation

irregular shape





Urban landscape profiles

Aim

We investigated how urban landscape and street design

profiles are associated to jointly occurring health and

environmental outcomes in Latin American cities.



Proximate inkblots

Contiguous large inkblots

moderate fragmentation mod-high fragmentation moderate isolation complex shape



Street design profiles



moderate connectivity

moderate length

moderate directness

Semi-hyperbolic grid Hyperbolic grid



moderate connectivity

high length

low directness

Spiderweb high connectivity

low length moderate directness

RESULTS (preliminar)

Table 1. Regression models assessing associations between urban landscape and street design profiles and environmental and health outcomes, adjusted for all the potential confounders.

	Urban landscape profiles ^a			Street design profiles ^b		
	Proximate stones	Proximate inkblots	Contiguous large inkblots	Semi-hyperbolic grid	Hyperbolic grid	Spiderweb
Environmental outcomes	Coef (95% CI)	Coef (95% CI)	Coef (95% CI)	Coef (95% CI)	Coef (95% CI)	Coef (95% CI)
Green space (% green/unit)	2.55 (-1.23, 6.32)	-5.84 (-10.04, -1.64)*	-12.17 (-19.05, -5.29)*	0.66 (-3.13, 4.44)	4.36 (-0.85, 9.57)	-5.51 (-9.84, -1.18)*
PM _{2.5} (μg/m ³)	1.83 (0.84, 2.82)*	2.06 (0.96, 3.17)*	4.68 (2.88, 6.49)*	1.69 (0.72, 2.66)*	0.75 (-0.59, 2.09)	2.87 (1.75, 3.98)*
NO ₂ (ppb)	0.14 (0.05, 0.24)*	0.17 (0.06, 0.27)*	0.92 (0.75, 1.09)*	0.14 (0.04, 0.24)*	0.24 (0.10, 0.37)*	0.41 (0.30, 0.52)*
Carbon footprint per capita (tCO ₂ /hab)	0.10 (-0.01, 0.21)	0.10 (-0.03, 0.22)	0.32 (0.11, 0.53)*	0.06 (-0.05, 0.17)	0.14 (-0.02, 0.29)	0.06 (-0.07, 0.19)
Heat	-0.02 (-0.80, 0.76)	-0.23 (-1.09, 0.64)	-1.05 (-2.47, 0.37)	-0.48 (-1.23, 0.27)	-1.70 (-2.74, -0.67)*	-0.97 (-1.83, -0.11)*
Health outcomes	Rate ratio/OR (95% CI) ^a	Rate ratio/OR (95% CI) ^a	Rate ratio/OR (95% CI) ^a	Rate ratio/OR (95% CI) ^c	Rate ratio/OR (95% CI) ^c	Rate ratio/OR (95% CI) ^c
All-cause mortality	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	0.96 (0.90, 1.01)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.97 (0.93, 1.01)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)
Non-communicable diseases mortality	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	1.00 (0.96, 1.03)	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)	1.00 (0.96, 1.03)
Infectious and parasitic diseases mortality	0.99 (0.90, 1.09)	1.02 (0.92, 1.14)	0.95 (0.80, 1.13)	0.95 (0.87, 1.04)	0.88 (0.78, 0.99)*	0.94 (0.84, 1.04)
Vector-borne diseases mortality	0.87 (0.62, 1.24)	0.79 (0.53, 1.17)	0.83 (0.46, 1.49)	0.84 (0.60, 1.18)	0.64 (0.41, 0.99)*	0.75 (0.51, 1.10)
Road traffic accidents mortality	0.88 (0.81, 0.97)*	0.84 (0.75 <i>,</i> 0.93)*	0.72 (0.61, 0.85)*	0.93 (0.85, 1.02)	0.89 (0.79, 1.00)	0.78 (0.71, 0.87)*
Violence related deaths	0.86 (0.72, 1.03)	0.99 (0.81, 1.21)	1.06 (0.77, 1.45)	0.99 (0.83, 1.17)	0.86 (0.68, 1.08)	0.96 (0.79, 1.18)
Hypertension prevalence	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	1.07 (0.95, 1.20)	1.06 (0.90, 1.25)	0.96 (0.87, 1.07)	1.02 (0.85, 1.22)	1.02 (0.91, 1.15)
Diabetes prevalence	1.11 (0.99, 1.23)	1.08 (0.97, 1.21)	1.24 (1.07, 1.43)*	1.07 (0.97, 1.18)	0.99 (0.83, 1.19)	1.08 (0.97, 1.20)
Obesity prevalence	0.88 (0.78, 0.99)*	0.85 (0.75 <i>,</i> 0.98)*	0.89 (0.74, 1.07)	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.79 (0.67, 0.94)*	0.85 (0.75, 0.96)*
Depression prevalence	0.80 (0.63, 1.00)	0.80 (0.63, 1.01)	0.82 (0.60, 1.13)	0.99 (0.83, 1.18)	0.91 (0.65, 1.28)	1.01 (0.82, 1.23)

*p<0.05

a Scattered pixels profile is the referent urban landscape profile. *Labyrinthine profile is the referent street design profile. Rate ratio for mortality models, OR for prevalence models.

PM2.5, Particulate Matter that have a diameter of less than 2.5 micrometers. NO2, Nitrogen dioxide. CO2, Carbon dioxide. OR, Odds Ratio.

Models' adjustment: Environmental outcomes models adjusted by climate zones and country as fixed effects. Health outcomes models adjusted by age, sex, education, SES city index, and climate zones, and country as fixed effects; city as random effects

CONCLUSIONS





Latina (SALURBAL)/ Urb Health in Latin America is funded by the Wellco [205177/2/16/2].

ica pro

Urban Health Collaborative